Brisbey
vs
Seaman

Memorandum
Settlement of Order

Presbrey
v.
Seamans

Seamans objects to provision of Order proposed by Presbrey that Pleadings be regarded as pleadings in consolidated action as unnecessary and contrary to Seamans right to amend.

The consent to immediate reference should be served on Seamans atty; and after the service of amended answer which Seaman proposes to serve, acceptance of Reference may then be had without delay.

As the plaintiff Seaman has not interposed any reply in City Court action and is stayed from so doing some provision should be made protecting him against default. A motn. has been made by Justice Fitzsimons that motion for

v.
Seamans

Seamans objects to provision of Order proposed by Presbrey that Pleadings be regarded as pleadings in consolidated action as unnecessary and contrary to Seamans right to amend.

The consent to immediate reference showed be served on Seamans atty; and after the service of amended answer which Seaman proposes to serve, acceptance of Reference may then be had without delay.

As the plaintiff Seaman has not interposed any reply in City Court action and is stayed from so doing some provision should be made protecting him against default. A mem. has been made by Justice Fitzsimmons that motion for bill of particulars pending in City Court be adjourned indefinitely

P. S. Jones
atty for Seamans

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library